

**Lampiran 1. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal
untuk Cross Sectional**

Lampiran 1. JBI Tools

**JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS
SECTIONAL**

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
Date : Januari 2021
Author : (Sherif et al., 2015)
Year : 2015
Record Number : 1

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 100% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 2. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Sectional

Lampiran 2. JBI Tools

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Schaefer et al., 2015)
 Year : 2015
 Record Number : 2

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 100% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 3. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Sectional

Lampiran 3. JBI Tools

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Hendro et al., 2018)
 Year : 2018
 Record Number : 3

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?			√	
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 90% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 4. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Sectional

Lampiran 4. JBI Tools

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Kappen et al., 2015)
 Year : 2015
 Record Number : 4

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?		√		
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?		√		
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 80% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 5. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Cross Sectional

Lampiran 5. JBI Tools

JBICRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL CROSS SECTIONAL

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Wu et al., 2015)
 Year : 2015
 Record Number : 5

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	√			
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	√			
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	√			
5. Were confounding factors identified?	√			
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	√			
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 100% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 6. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Analytical Observational

Lampiran 6. JBI Tools

JBI CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL OBSERVATIONAL

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Vol, 2020)
 Year : 2020
 Record Number : 6

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the groups comparable other than the presence of disease in cases or the absence of disease in controls?	√			
2. Were cases and controls matched appropriately?	√			
3. Were the same criteria used for identification of cases and controls?	√			
4. Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?	√			
5. Was exposure measured in the same way for cases and controls?			√	
6. Were confounding factors identified?			√	
7. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	√			
8. Were outcomes assessed in a standard, valid and reliable way for cases and controls?	√			
Was the exposure period of interest long enough to be meaningful?	√			
Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 80% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 7. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Analytical Descriptive

Lampiran 7. JBI Tools

JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL DESCRIPTIVE

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Gecit & Ozbayir, 2020)
 Year : 2020
 Record Number : 7

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?	√			
2. Was the patient's history clearly described and presented as a timeline?	√			
3. Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?	√			
4. Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?	√			
5. Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clearly	√			
6. Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?	√			
7. Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?	√			
8. Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 100% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

Lampiran 8. Format JBI (*The Joanna Briggs Institute*) Critical Appraisal untuk Analytical Descriptive

Lampiran 8. JBI Tools

JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE CRITICAL APPRAISAL CHECKLIST FOR ANALYTICAL DESCRIPTIVE

Reviewer : Maharani Puspita
 Date : Januari 2021
 Author : (Gan et al., 2020)
 Year : 2020
 Record Number : 8

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?	√			
2. Was the patient's history clearly described and presented as a timeline?	√			
3. Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?	√			
4. Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?	√			
5. Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clearly		√		
6. Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?		√		
7. Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?	√			
8. Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?	√			

Overall appraisal: Include ☒ Exclude ☐ Seek further info ☐

Comments (Including reason for exclusion):

Artikel ini mendapatkan skor 80% sehingga dinyatakan layak untuk dilakukan sintesis data.

LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI



Nama Mahasiswa : Maharani Puspita

NIM : P17211172012

Nama Pembimbing I: Taufan Arif, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	28/09/2020	➤ Konsultasi judul ➤ Mencari novelty pemilihan judul ➤ Mencari jurnal internasional	
2.	03/10/2020	➤ Konsultasi judul baru dengan variabel yang berbeda ➤ Membuat latar belakang alasan pemilihan judul ➤ Membuat judul baru untuk LR	
3.	06/10/2020	➤ Membuat brain storming ➤ Langkah pencarian jurnal scopus	
4.	13/10/2020	➤ Acc judul ➤ Lanjut bab 1	
5.	20/10/2020	➤ Konsultasi bab 1 ➤ Cari database jurnal dari CINAHL, proquest, EBSCO, science direct, google scholar ➤ Konsultasi jurnal internasional	
6.	14/11/2020	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 1 ➤ Mengganti rumusan masalah	
7.	17/12/2020	➤ revisi bab 1 ➤ Lanjut bab 2	

8.	06/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi bab 1 dan bab 2 ➤ Revisi bab 1 dan bab 2	
9.	08/01/2021	➤ Lanjut bab 3	
10.	12/01/2021	➤ Revisi bab 1 dan bab 2 ➤ Latar belakang terdiri dari masalah, skala data, kronologi masalah, dan solusi	
11.	15/01/2021	➤ Bimbingan dan konsultasi revisi bab 1,2 dan 3 ➤ Membuat sitasi dari buku	
12.	19/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi bab 1,2, dan 3 ➤ Menentukan kriteria inklusi dan eksklusi sesuai penelitian	
13.	21/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi bab 1,2, dan 3	
14.	25/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi bab 1,2, dan 3 ➤ Perbaiki penilaian jurnal predator dan line spasi untuk lampiran	
15.	26/01/2021	➤ Acc proposal	
16.	28/01/2021		

17.	03/05/2021	➤ Konsultasi PPT persiapan seminar proposal	
18.	04/05/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi hasil seminar proposal	
19.	31/05/2021	➤ Lanjut ke pengesahan dan pengumpulan data bab 4 dan 5	
20.	02/06/2021	➤ Konsultasi bab 4 dan 5	
21.	07/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
22.	11/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
23.	14/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
		➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
24.	22/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
25.	24/06/2021	➤ Zoom meeting membahas perbaikan bab 4 dan bab 5	
26.	29/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	
27.	06/07/2021	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 4 dan 5	
28.	08/07/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5 ➤ Tambahkan nilai alpha signifikan pada kesimpulan ➤ Membuat abstrak	

		➤ Acc sidang skripsi ➤ Pengajuan semhas	
--	--	---	---







LEMBAR BIMBINGAN SKRIPSI

Nama Mahasiswa : Maharani Puspita

NIM : P17211172012

Nama Pembimbing II: Eddi Sudjarwo, S.Kep., Ns., M.Kep

NO	TANGGAL	REKOMENDASI PEMBIMBING	TANDA TANGAN PEMBIMBING
1.	29/09/2020	➤ Konsultasi judul ➤ Cari referensi minimal 3 jurnal sesuai judul maksimal 5 tahun terakhir ➤ Citation dan daftar pustaka gunakan mendeley	
2.	02/10/2020	➤ Membuat spider map ➤ Tambahkn variabel pada judul	
3.	03/10/2020	➤ ACC judul ➤ Lanjut bab 1	
4.	19/10/2020	➤ Zoom meeting bimbingan skripsi bab 1	
5.	23/10/2020	➤ Konsultasi bab 1	
6.	26/10/2020	➤ Perhatikan MSKS ➤ Masalah : ceritakan fenomena masalah yang ada di masyarakat uregnsinya seperti apa. ➤ Kronologis : ceritakan koherensi masalah dan variabel yang diangkat ➤ Solusi : berikan solusi dari peneliti terkait topic	

7.	07/11/2020	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 1	
8.	7/11/2020	➤ Konsultasi revisi bab 1 ➤ Citation dan daftar pustaka gunakan mendeley ➤ Referensi minimal 8 jurnal	
9.	17/12/2020	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 1	
10.	05/01/2021	➤ Bimbingan zoom penyusunan bab 1 ➤ ACC bab 1 lanjut bab 2	
11.	08/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi bab 1 dan bab 2 ➤ Lanjut bab 3	
12.	12/01/2020	➤ bimbingan zoom bab 1 dan bab 2 ➤ Tentukan indeks jurnal nasional dan internasional	
13.	13/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi bab 2 ➤ Gabungkan bab 1,2, dan 3 ➤ Cover sesuaikan dengan panduan	
14.	18/01/2021	➤ Konsultasi proposal bab 1,2, dan 3 ➤ Isi bab 2 urutkan sesuai dengan judul ➤ perbaiki dan perhatikan isi aturan tabel ➤ jurnal internasional tambahkan jumlahnya	

15.	20/01/2021	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 2 dan bab 3	— ghu :)
16.	22/01/2021	➤ ACC proposal	— ghu :)
17.	03/05/2021	➤ Konsultasi revisi hasil seminar proposal ➤ Lanjut ke bab 4 dan 5	— ghu :)
18.	31/05/2021	➤ Konsultasi bab 4 dan 5 ➤ Perhatikan penyusunan bab 4 dan ab 5 sesuai panduan	— ghu :)
19.	12/06/2021	➤ Revisi bab 4 dan 5	— ghu :)
20.	18/06/2021	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 4 dan 5 ➤ Kesimpulan menjawab tujuan khusus ➤ Ada 3 jawaban, cukup 3 paragraf simpel ➤ Buat abstrak	— ghu :)
21.	21/06/2012	➤ Mengumpulkan revisi bab 4 dan 5 ➤ ACC sidang skripsi	— ghu :)