

LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlynn Ayu Angelina M

Date : 27-10-2020

Author: Seyed Ehsan Samsi, Mehdi Roodabadi, Narges Khasajani, Omidreza Heravizadeh, Majid Bagheri Hoosseinabadi, Saeed Pirami

Year : 2015

Record Number: 01

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 2

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlynn Ayu Angelina M

Date : 27-10-2020

Author: Amy Wikoski Stimpfal, Carol S. Brewer, Christine T. Kovner

Year : 2015

Record Number: 02

	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Were confounding factors identified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 3

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Kariyyn Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 27-10-2020

Author: Ayele Mamo Abebe, Mesfin Wudh Kaisaw, Nathan Estifanos Shewanegas

Year : 2018

Record Number: 03

- | | Yes | No | Unclear | Not applicable |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were confounding factors identified? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 4

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Kariyyn Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 15-11-2020

Author: Seetya Putro, Santoso, Endang Purzawati Rahayu

Year : 2018

Record Number: 04

- | | Yes | No | Unclear | Not applicable |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were confounding factors identified? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 8 jawaban YA, 100%

Lampiran 5

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlynn Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 15-11-2020

Author: Nur Anisah, Setiawan, Gerry Sulaban

Year : 2019

Record Number: 05

Yes No Unclear Not applicable

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?
5. Were confounding factors identified?
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Overall appraisal: **Include** **Exclude** **Seek further info**

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban **YA**. 75%

Lampiran 6

JBIC Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlynn Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 15-11-2020

Author: Lusia Salamawati, Mub. Rasul, Mub. Ryzman Napurah

Year : 2019

Record Number: 06

Yes No Unclear Not applicable

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?
5. Were confounding factors identified?
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Overall appraisal: **Include** **Exclude** **Seek further info**

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban **YA**. 75%

Lampiran 7

JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karliya Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 25-12-2020

Author: Ulfiye Çalikkalp, Filiz Dilak

Year : 2019

Record Number: 07

Yes No Unclear Not applicable

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?
5. Were confounding factors identified?
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 8

JBICritical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karliya Ayu Angelina M **Date :** 25-12-2020

Author: Javad Youssef Lebani, Farbod Ehsadi Azar, Mamoj Shanna, Alireza Zangeneh, Neda Kazempour, Seyyed Amir Azizi, Amir Jahali, Arash Ziapour

Year : 2019

Record Number: 08

Yes No Unclear Not applicable

1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined?
2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail?
3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?
4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition?
5. Were confounding factors identified?
6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated?
7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way?
8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (Including reason for exclusion)

Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 9

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlyn Ayu Angelina M **Date:** 25-12-2020
Author: Glenda Keyla Chima Quemel, Leticia Caroline da Cruz Paula, Ivonete Vieira Pereira Peixoto, Oivaldo da Silva Peixoto, Jefferson Santos Araújo, Mônica Custódia do Couto Abreu Pamplona, Thayse Moraes de Moraes, Rodrigo Cesar Freitas da Silva, Bruno de Oliveira Santos

Year: 2019 **Record Number:** 09

- | | Yes | No | Unclear | Not applicable |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were confounding factors identified? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (including reason for exclusion):
Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 6 jawaban YA, 75%

Lampiran 10

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviewer: Karlyn Ayu Angelina M **Date:** 25-12-2020
Author: Caumete Smith Kwarazza, Keshmar Clarke, Christine Fasilah, Rabindranath Singh, Oscar Noel Ocho

Year: 2020 **Record Number:** 10

- | | Yes | No | Unclear | Not applicable |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly defined? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Were the study subjects and the setting described in detail? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Were objective, standard criteria used for measurement of the condition? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Were confounding factors identified? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Was appropriate statistical analysis used? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Overall appraisal: Include Exclude Seek further info

Comments (including reason for exclusion):
Dari 8 pertanyaan terdapat 5 jawaban YA, 62,5%